

Grittleton Sevington & Leigh Delamere Village Hall

Interested Party Reference number: [REDACTED]

Response to “The Applicant’s Response to Relevant Representations (Part 1) March 2026 Ref 4.39”

We note the responses made by the Applicant however the Trustees of the Village Hall still feel that, even with the additional mitigation mentioned, the financial viability of the Hall is still threatened and therefore still object to the proposed development.

The income generated by our regular classes is essential for our survival.

The response states “*Construction deliveries are restricted to outside of peak hours*” however they seem to have ignored our statement that these classes also operate outside peak hours.

On reviewing the documents mentioned within the response, it is reassuring to note that banksmen will be positioned to help traffic into and out of Access Points 101 & 102, serving both the cable corridor plus a construction compound. This will not remove the surrounding impacts of the HGV/LGVs slowing down to a stop or accelerating away. Our Village Hall is only 75 metres from these entrances.

The response mentions “*the construction of the Cable Route Corridor is anticipated to generate a low level of trips and will only be in use for a temporary construction period of approximately 90 days*”. We do not consider 32 movements of HGV/LGV, 20 of cars/vans plus the compound traffic **each** day for potentially 90 days to be “low level” **Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan APP/7.22**

The quoted 90 days will be longer as there are 2 Access Points, one of which is new and will have to be built, plus there will be the construction/dismantling of the compound. That is a long time for our regular classes to suffer.

Our concern is that whilst the Applicant considers the impact temporary, our hirers will find it too long to deal with and leave.

Lawrence Copeland

Trustee

Grittleton Sevington and Leigh Delamere Village Hall